

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

Wendell Dwayne O'Neal,

Civil No. 05-2464 DSD/SRN

Plaintiff,

v.

Y.P.O. Fang,  
St. Paul Police Department,  
Autumn X. Nelson,  
City of St. Paul, MN, and  
Manuel Cervetes,

Defendants.

---

Wendell Dwayne O'Neal,

Civil No. 06-2336 ADM/JSM

Plaintiff,

v.

Sheri Moore,  
Pete Crum,  
Y. Fang,  
Sgt. Graupmann, and  
City of St. Paul,

Defendants.

---

Wendell Dwayne O'Neal,

Civil No. 07-2803 ADM/JSM

Plaintiff,

v.

Nina C. Cook,  
Radisson Hotel,  
City of St. Paul,  
Manuel Cervantes,  
John J. Choi,  
Yileng Vang,  
Capital City Properties,  
St. Paul Port Authority Prpty.,

Unknown Manager,  
Unknown Security Person,  
Shari Moore,  
Crestline Hotel Mgmt. and  
Carlson,

Defendants.

---

Wendell Dwayne O'Neal,

Civil No. 07-3947 ADM/JSM

Plaintiff,

v.

City of St. Paul,  
Yileng Vang,  
Ramsey County,  
Susan Gaertner,  
Ms. Patricia Leski,  
Mr. Bob Fletcher,  
Mr. Greg Slatter, and  
Unknown Ramsey Dpty. Sheriff,

Defendants.

---

Wendell Dwayne O'Neal,

Civil No. 07-4230 ADM/JSM

Plaintiff,

v.

City of St. Paul,  
Manuel Cervantes,  
Teresa Skarda,  
Andrea Miller,  
George T. Stephenson,  
John J. Choi,  
Jessical McConnelly,  
Ramsey County,  
Mr. Gill,  
Autumn X. Nelson, and  
Unknown Student Attorney,

Defendants.

---

Wendell Dwayne O'Neal,

Civil No. 10-2517 JRT/JJK

Plaintiff,

v.

LDC Collect Systems,  
Minnesota 2nd Judicial District  
Court Administrator,  
Unknown Ramsey 2nd Judicial  
District Clerk,  
Karen Mareck,  
State of Minnesota,  
SDC Collection Systems,  
J.D.B. and  
Ramsey District Court  
Administrator,

Defendants.

---

Wendell Dwayne O'Neal, pro se.

---

This matter is before the undersigned United States District Judge for consideration of Plaintiff Wendell Dwayne O'Neal's ("O'Neal") "Leave to File Amended Rules 72.2 Objections Motion" [Docket No. 347].<sup>1</sup> United States Magistrate Judge Janie S. Mayeron's December 20, 2011 Order [Docket No. 346] denied O'Neal's motions to begin new litigation or re-open terminated cases in this Court concerning an incident which occurred on August 15, 2005.

Upon review of the files in this case, the Court finds that O'Neal's proposed "Amended Rules 72.2 Objections" [Docket No. 348] are precluded on the basis of Heck v. Humphrey, 512

---

<sup>1</sup>All docket numbers referenced herein refer to filings in Civ. No. 06-2336.

U.S. 477 (1994), res judicata, and collateral estoppel from re-litigating the extensively litigated events of August 15, 2005. Careful review of the pleadings confirm that O’Neal’s Objections concern the same facts and legal theories and are without merit. Accordingly, O’Neal’s request for leave to file is denied.

Based upon the foregoing, and all the files, records, and proceedings herein, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that O’Neal’s “Leave to File Amended Rules 72.2 Objections Motion” [Docket No. 347] is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

s/Ann D. Montgomery  
ANN D. MONTGOMERY  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: January 13, 2012.